arXiv:1402.4650v1 [hep-ph] 19 Feb 2014

The impact of a 126 GeV Higgs on the neutralino mass

C. Beskidt[a], W. de Boer[a], D.I. Kazakov[a,b]

[a]*Institut für Experimentelle Kernphysik, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, P.O. Box 6980, 76128 Karlsruhe, Germany*
[b]*Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, 141980, 6 Joliot-Curie, Dubna, Moscow Region, Russia*

Abstract

We highlight the differences of the dark matter sector between the constrained minimal supersymmetric SM (CMSSM) and the next-to minimal supersymmetric SM (NMSSM) including the 126 GeV Higgs boson using GUT scale parameters. In the dark matter sector the two models are quite orthogonal: in the CMSSM the LSP is largely a bino and requires large $\tan\beta$ from the relic density constraint in most of the parameter space. In the NMSSM the LSP has a large singlino component. We discuss the consequences for the direct dark matter searches, such as the mass spectra and the corresponding WIMP-nucleon cross section. Furthermore, prospects for discovery of XENON1T and LHC at 14 TeV are given.

Keywords: supersymmetry, Dark Matter, Higgs boson

1. Introduction

The discovery of the Higgs boson completes the standard model (SM) of particle physics but there are still some inconsistencies which cannot be explained within this theory. The lack of a dark matter candidate is still an unsolved issue within the SM. Recent measurements by the Planck satellite yielded the most precise measurement of the relic density $\Omega h^2 = 0.1199 \pm 0.002$ [1]. Since physics beyond the SM is necessary, one possible extension is supersymmetry (SUSY), a symmetry between fermions and bosons [2–4]. The lightest supersymmetric particle (LSP) is stable assuming

Email addresses: conny.beskidt@kit.edu (C. Beskidt), wim.de.boer@kit.edu (W. de Boer)

R-Parity conservation. If the LSP is represented by the neutralino, the supersymmetric partner of the neutral gauge bosons, supersymmetry provides a weakly interacting massive particle (WIMP) candidate with all needed properties to get the right amount of dark matter [5]. Besides the WIMP candidate, SUSY provides at the same time nice features like the unification of the couplings and electroweak symmetry breaking because of radiative corrections. A light Higgs boson below 130 GeV is predicted, so the discovery of a Higgs-like boson with a mass of 126 GeV [6, 7] is up to now the strongest support for supersymmetry despite of the fact that no SUSY particle has been found so far. However, the predicted value for each Higgs mass depends on the model. Within the CMSSM a 126 GeV Higgs boson is only possible for heavy stop masses, which excludes stops below 1 TeV, see e.g. [8–11] and references therein. However, a 126 GeV Higgs boson is easily fulfilled in the NMSSM for small and moderate stop masses because the mixing with the additional Higgs singlet increases the Higgs mass at tree level [12–19]. Compared to the CMSSM, neutralinos now have an additional singlino component, which changes the relic abundance and the neutralino-nucleon cross section. In this letter we study the differences in the neutralino and dark matter sector considering the allowed parameter space of both models. For this analysis we use GUT scale parameter, which implies including complete radiative corrections between the GUT and the SUSY scale via RGEs including fixed point solutions. We start with a summary of the Higgs and neutralino sector for each model including the relic density constraint. We conclude by discussing the consequences of the global fits to all available data and give future prospects for the discovery reach in direct dark matter searches and LHC at 14 TeV (LHC14).

2. The CMSSM neutralino sector

The minimal supersymmetric extension of the SM would lead to over 100 free SUSY parameters. The number is reduced to a few within the simplest supersymmetric model by assuming the unification of the gauge couplings and masses of the spin 0 and spin 1/2 particles at the GUT scale. With this assumption, only four free parameters and one free sign are left within the constrained minimal supersymmetric SM (CMSSM): m_0 the common spin 0 mass, $m_{1/2}$ the common spin 1/2 mass, A_0 the trilinear coupling, $\tan\beta$ the ratio of the vacuum expectation values of the two Higgs fields and the sign of the Higgs mixing parameter μ [2–4].



Figure 1: The neutralino content for a typical point in parameter space for the CMSSM (left) and NMSSM (right), which fulfills a 126 GeV Higgs boson. The neutralino mixing matrix elements squared are indicated by the different colors going from white, grey, light blue, dark blue and red for $\tilde{B}, \tilde{W}^0, \tilde{H}_1^0, \tilde{H}_2^0$ and $\tilde{S}$, respectively. The neutralino masses are given by the numbers in GeV below the bars of the mixing content. Within the CMSSM the lightest neutralino is almost a pure bino in contrast to the singlino like LSP in the NMSSM.

The Higgs sector of the MSSM requires two Higgs doublets. After the expansion around the vacuum expectation value and the absorption of the longitudinal polarization of the heavy gauge bosons, five Higgs bosons remain: two neutral CP-even Higgs bosons H and h, one CP-odd neutral Higgs A and two CP-even charged Higgs bosons $H^{\pm}$. In the decoupling limit, corresponding to a large ratio of M_A/M_Z, the lightest Higgs boson h represents the SM Higgs. The tree level mass of h has to be below the Z boson mass, but can be shifted by radiative corrections [20], which need to be large to lift the mass up to the measured value of about 126 GeV. Such large corrections can be fulfilled by either a minimal/maximal mixing scenario in the stop sector or heavy stop masses [21, 22]. Such maximal/minimal mixing scenarios are not possible within the CMSSM because of the fixed point solutions for the RGEs running from the GUT to the low scale [23]. A 126 GeV Higgs therefore requires stops in the multi-TeV range [18].

2.1. The LSP in the CMSSM

The lightest neutralino is a perfect WIMP candidate, since it is heavy, neutral and stable and the annihilation cross section leads to the correct relic density for typical SUSY parameters [5]. Neutralinos are superpositions of the neutral gauginos and higgsinos. In the gauge-eigenstate basis $\chi^0 =$



Figure 2: The neutralino mass plotted in the m_0-$m_{1/2}$ plane for a typical parameter set in the NMSSM (right) and the CMSSM (left), whereas the color coding corresponds to $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$. The mass of the bino-like neutralino within the CMSSM is proportional to $m_{1/2}$. Since the lightest neutralino is a mixture of a singlino and higgsino within the NMSSM, the mass is independent of $m_{1/2}$. The white region is excluded by the LHC SUSY searches.

$\left(\tilde{B}, \tilde{W}^0, \tilde{H}_1^0, \tilde{H}_2^0\right)$ the mass matrix for the neutralinos can be written as [2]

$$M^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & 0 & -\frac{g_1 v_d}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{g_1 v_u}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & M_2 & \frac{g_2 v_d}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{g_2 v_u}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{g_1 v_d}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{g_2 v_d}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & -\mu \\ \frac{g_1 v_u}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{g_2 v_u}{\sqrt{2}} & -\mu & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1}$$

To obtain the mass eigenstates the mass matrix has to be diagonalized. The relic density is inversely proportional to the neutralino annihilation cross section. The dominant annihilation channel depends on the corresponding parameter space within the m_0-$m_{1/2}$ plane which refers to a different neutralino mixing, mass and next to LSP (NLSP) mass [24]. Most of the parameter space is dominated by the annihilation through the s-channel exchange of a pseudo-scalar Higgs boson. The annihilation cross section which provides the correct relic density leads to a neutralino mass which is close to the A-resonance $m_\chi \approx m_A/2$, see e.g. [25]. In addition m_A is sensitive to $\tan\beta$, so the right amount of dark matter can be obtained by adjusting $\tan\beta$. Large values of $\tan\beta$ of about 50 turned out to be favoured in almost the whole m_0-$m_{1/2}$ plane. The lightest neutralino is bino-like as can be seen from Fig. 1, which shows the neutralino content for typical SUSY parameters. This means that its mass is approximately $M_1 \approx 0.4 m_{1/2}$ [26]. The distribution of

the neutralino mass which is proportional to $m_{1/2}$ is shown in Fig. 2 in the m_0-$m_{1/2}$ plane.

3. The NMSSM neutralino sector

Within the NMSSM an additional Higgs singlet is added to the two Higgs doublets, which solves the μ problem [27, 28]. Because of the resulting Higgs self-coupling terms the number of free parameters increases even in the constrained model. We use the semi-constrained model, where the mixing parameter μ itself is a free parameter and is related to the vacuum expectation value of the singlet $\langle s \rangle$

$$\mu_{eff} = \lambda \langle s \rangle, \tag{2}$$

where λ is a coupling of the Higgses with the singlet. In addition to the mixing term of the Higgs doublets and singlet, the singlet self interaction described by $\kappa S^3/3$ appears in the NMSSM superpotential with its coupling κ. The corresponding soft breaking terms include the trilinear couplings A_λ and A_κ. This model, which allows a splitting of the Higgs boson masses at the GUT scale, is one of the options of the publicly available software package NMSSMTools [29], which was used to calculate the NMSSM particle spectrum. Combined with the four free CMSSM parameter, the semi-constrained NMSSM contains in total nine free parameters: m_0, $m_{1/2}$, $\tan\beta$, A_0, A_κ, A_λ, κ, λ and μ_{eff}. For small values of the couplings λ and κ, the NMSSM approaches the MSSM limit.

The additional degrees of freedom in the Higgs sector lead to seven Higgs bosons, so a further Higgs boson is added both to the scalar and the pseudoscalar sector. The mixing of the Higgs doublet and singlet leads to additional terms in the 3×3 mass matrix, which lift the light Higgs boson masses [12, 13, 15–17, 30–53]. Within the NMSSM either the lightest or the second lightest Higgs boson represents the SM Higgs boson, whereas the other light Higgs boson is singlet-like with reduced couplings to SM particles. It is possible to obtain $m_h = 126$ GeV for small values of $\tan\beta$ in the whole m_0-$m_{1/2}$ plane independent of the SUSY masses. Especially light and moderate stop masses are possible for different NMSSM scenarios, as discussed previously in [18] and references therein.

3.1. The LSP in the NMSSM

Within the NMSSM neutralino sector, the $U(1)_Y$ gaugino λ_1 and the $SU(2)$ gauginos λ_2^3 mix with the neutral higgsinos $\psi_d^0, \psi_u^0, \psi_S$. They generate

a symmetric 5×5 mass matrix $\mathcal{M}_0$. In the basis $\psi^0 = (-i\lambda_1, -i\lambda_2^3, \psi_d^0, \psi_u^0, \psi_S)$, the resulting mixing matrix reads [28]:

$$\mathcal{M}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & 0 & -\frac{g_1 v_d}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{g_1 v_u}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & M_2 & \frac{g_2 v_d}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{g_2 v_u}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ -\frac{g_1 v_d}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{g_2 v_d}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & -\mu_{\text{eff}} & -\lambda v_u \\ \frac{g_1 v_u}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{g_2 v_u}{\sqrt{2}} & -\mu_{\text{eff}} & 0 & -\lambda v_d \\ 0 & 0 & -\lambda v_u & -\lambda v_d & 2\kappa s + \mu' \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3)$$

The term μ' in the last diagonal element appears in the general NMSSM only and is set to zero for this analysis.

The first 4×4 elements of the neutralino mixing matrix are similar to Eq. 1, but the main difference among others concerns the Higgs mixing parameter μ_{eff}, since it is not calculated anymore as in the CMSSM, but is an input parameter as mentioned in section 3. In addition, other NMSSM input parameters such as λ and κ enter into Eq. 3. This influences directly the neutralino content. Typically, the neutralino is a mixture of a higgsino and singlino as shown on the right-hand side in Fig. 1, which means that the (5,5) term in Eq. 3 is small. This is the case if the vev of the singlet $\langle s \rangle$ is taken to be of the order of the electroweak scale. The LSP is then independent of m_0 and $m_{1/2}$ as shown on the right-hand side of Fig. 2. The small higgsino component allows to fulfill the relic density. If one chooses $\langle s \rangle$ to be of the order of $m_{1/2}$ in the range allowed by the LHC SUSY searches (see Fig. 2), i.e. well above the electroweak scale, the bino component of the LSP becomes significant and the LSP mass starts to depend on $m_{1/2}$. A bino-like LSP is only possible in the semi-constrained NMSSM if the lightest Higgs represents the SM Higgs, otherwise the relic density is too small. However, the singlet has usually a mass below the SM-like Higgs boson in the semi-constrained NMSSM. A singlet Higgs boson above the SM Higgs boson requires a strong fine tuning of the rather large trilinear couplings as discussed in [18].

3.2. LSP-nucleon cross section in the NMSSM

To detect dark matter one has to measure the recoil of a WIMP scatter on a nuclei. Several experiments try to measure these rare events, but no dark matter particle has been detected so far. The best limit for the spin independent (SI) WIMP-nucleon cross section is given so far by the LUX experiment [54], but other experiments give low limits as well [55–58]. They exclude discovery claims by DAMA/LIBRA [59] and CoGeNT [60]. The main



Figure 3: Left: Spin independent WIMP-nucleon cross section for the combination of proton and neutron (solid blue line) plotted versus A_λ. The distribution results of the combination of the lightest and the second lightest Higgs boson amplitudes and is dominated by the H_1 contribution at the edges. The dip corresponds to the cancellation of both amplitudes. This does not happen simultaneously for proton and neutron, so the average cross section is finite. Right: running of A_λ from the GUT to low scale.

contribution to the scalar elastic-scattering amplitude of a neutralino from quarks comes from scalar Higgs boson t-channel exchange. The pseudo-scalar Higgs boson exchange is suppressed because of the γ^5 factor, whereas heavy squark exchange as well as the heavy Higgs boson exchange is suppressed by their mass. So the scattering via the lightest H_1 and the second lightest Higgs boson H_2 is dominant. These diagrams have a negative interference, which can lead to very small cross sections. An example is shown in Fig. 3. Here the spin independent cross section is plotted versus the low scale value of the trilinear coupling A_λ, which influences the Higgs masses. The cross section is calculated within the software package NMSSMTools [29], which uses micrOmegas [61] for the relic density and cross section calculation. The steep decrease at the edges of the distribution is caused by the increase of the lightest Higgs mass m_{H_1}, which varies one order of magnitude in this range of A_λ. If m_{H_1} and m_{H_2} are getting close, the two amplitude cancel which leads to the dip in the cross section distribution for scattering on protons and neutrons. For a fine-tuning of the H_1 and H_2 masses the cross section for a single nucleon can actually become zero, but this does not happen simultaneously for proton and neutron. Therefore, the average cross section stays finite in Fig. 3. The horizontal red, dashed line corresponds to the LUX limit, which excludes a wide range of A_λ at low scale, but the allowed



Figure 4: Optimized m_0-$m_{1/2}$ points translated in the spin independent (SI) WIMP-nucleon cross section versus WIMP mass plane for the CMSSM (left) and NMSSM (right). The light full/empty red points are excluded by LHC/LHC14. The points above the red solid/dashed lines are excluded by the LUX/XENON1T. The main contribution to the LHC exclusion in the CMSSM is coming from the Higgs measurement, while in the NMSSM the LHC SUSY searches dominate the exclusion. The mass range in the NMSSM is limited in contrast to the CMSSM. Here the lightest neutralino mass varies with $m_{1/2}$.

values indicated by the green, vertical band are typically within the range of the quasi fixed-point solution of the RGE for A_λ, as shown in Fig. 3 on the right-hand side.

4. Results of the χ^2 optimization

We perform global fits to all available data, like data from cosmology and accelerators to determine the allowed parameter space within the CMSSM and NMSSM, see Ref.[8] and [18], respectively. We apply our multi-step fitting method to cope with the strong correlations of the free CMSSM and

NMSSM parameters. By scanning over all m_0 and $m_{1/2}$ values in the range between 100 GeV and 3/1.5 TeV for the CMSSM/NMSSM, we obtain from the constrained fit the preferred values of the other parameters (2 in the CMSSM, 7 in the NMSSM). For these points we calculate the spin independent WIMP-nucleon cross section. The results are shown in Fig 4. A large fraction of the points is already excluded by the direct dark matter searches from LUX (above solid line) and the LHC constraint (light (red) points). In the CMSSM the WIMP mass can reach large values since $m_{WIMP} \propto m_{1/2}$, as shown before in Fig. 2. Masses of the lightest neutralino below 450 GeV are excluded. For the NMSSM the WIMP is typically a singlino with a mass of the order of the electroweak scale ($\propto$ to the singlet vev $\langle s \rangle$) which is independent of the other SUSY mass parameters. The mass of the lightest neutralino ranges from 30 to 200 GeV, but the masses below 60 GeV are excluded by the LHC SUSY searches, as indicated by the red (light) points. These points have typically $m_{1/2}$ values in the white region of Fig. 2. Future direct dark matter and LHC sensitivities are shown in the last row of Fig. 4. The XENON1T limit is expected to reach a sensitivity two orders of magnitude better than XENON100. The current results of the SUSY searches at the LHC have been extrapolated to $3000 fb^{-1}$ and 14 TeV. One observes that a large range of parameter space will be covered for both models, both by the LHC and the direct dark matter searches.

5. Summary

In this letter we compared the dark matter sector of the CMSSM and the NMSSM using GUT scale input parameters. Within the CMSSM the lightest neutralino is bino-like and has a mass proportional to $m_{1/2}$. The relic density can be obtained for large values of $\tan\beta$. The Higgs mass requires low values of $\tan\beta$ within the NMSSM. The lightest neutralino is typically a mixture of a higgsino and singlino to obtain the correct relic density. Small cross sections for the WIMP nucleon cross section are possible within the NMSSM because of the negative interference of the amplitudes of the two lightest Higgs bosons. The allowed mass range for the neutralino within the NMSSM covers the region around 60-200 GeV. Within the CMSSM the lower limit on the neutralino mass is around 450 GeV. The complementary mass ranges of the two models can be used to distinguish the models, since a light neutralino is not possible within the CMSSM, but is favoured within the NMSSM and vice versa. We show the sensitivities of future dark matter searches like

XENON1T and searches for SUSY particles at the LHC at 14 TeV. They will be sensitive to a large part of the parameter space, as shown in Fig. 4.

Acknowledgements

Support from the Heisenberg-Landau program and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) via a Mercator Professorship (Prof. Kazakov) is warmly acknowledged. We thank U. Ellwanger for helpful discussions regarding NMSSMTools.

References

[1] Planck Collaboration, "Planck 2013 results. XVI. Cosmological parameters", arXiv:1303.5076.

[2] S. P. Martin, "A Supersymmetry primer", *Perspectives on supersymmetry II, Ed. G. Kane* (1997) arXiv:hep-ph/9709356.

[3] H. E. Haber and G. L. Kane, "The Search for Supersymmetry: Probing Physics Beyond the Standard Model", *Phys.Rept.* **117** (1985) 75–263.

[4] W. de Boer, "Grand unified theories and supersymmetry in particle physics and cosmology", *Prog.Part.Nucl.Phys.* **33** (1994) 201–302, arXiv:hep-ph/9402266.

[5] G. Jungman, M. Kamionkowski, and K. Griest, "Supersymmetric dark matter", *Phys.Rept.* **267** (1996) 195–373, arXiv:hep-ph/9506380.

[6] ATLAS Collaboration, "Combined search for the Standard Model Higgs boson in pp collisions at sqrt(s) = 7 TeV with the ATLAS detector", arXiv:1207.0319. Submitted to *Phys. Rev. D.*

[7] CMS Collaboration, "Combined results of searches for the standard model Higgs boson in pp collisions at sqrt(s) = 7 TeV", *Phys.Lett.* **B710** (2012) 26–48, arXiv:1202.1488.

[8] C. Beskidt, W. de Boer, D. Kazakov et al., "Constraints on Supersymmetry from LHC data on SUSY searches and Higgs bosons combined with cosmology and direct dark matter searches", *Eur.Phys.J.* **C72** (2012) 2166, arXiv:1207.3185.

[9] O. Buchmueller, R. Cavanaugh, A. De Roeck et al., "The CMSSM and NUHM1 after LHC Run 1", arXiv:1312.5250.

[10] A. Fowlie, M. Kazana, K. Kowalska et al., "The CMSSM Favoring New Territories: The Impact of New LHC Limits and a 125 GeV Higgs", *Phys.Rev.* **D86** (2012) 075010, arXiv:1206.0264.

[11] P. Bechtle, K. Desch, H. K. Dreiner et al., "Constrained Supersymmetry after the Higgs Boson Discovery: A global analysis with Fittino", arXiv:1310.3045.

[12] S. F. King, M. Muhlleitner, R. Nevzorov et al., "Natural NMSSM Higgs Bosons", *Nucl.Phys.* **B870** (2013) 323–352, arXiv:1211.5074.

[13] J.-J. Cao, Z.-X. Heng, J. M. Yang et al., "A SM-like Higgs near 125 GeV in low energy SUSY: a comparative study for MSSM and NMSSM", *JHEP* **1203** (2012) 086, arXiv:1202.5821.

[14] G. Belanger, U. Ellwanger, J. F. Gunion et al., "Higgs Bosons at 98 and 125 GeV at LEP and the LHC", *JHEP* **1301** (2013) 069, arXiv:1210.1976.

[15] U. Ellwanger and C. Hugonie, "Higgs bosons near 125 GeV in the NMSSM with constraints at the GUT scale", arXiv:1203.5048.

[16] S. King, M. Muhlleitner, and R. Nevzorov, "NMSSM Higgs Benchmarks Near 125 GeV", *Nucl.Phys.* **B860** (2012) 207–244, arXiv:1201.2671.

[17] D. A. Vasquez, G. Belanger, C. Boehm et al., "The 125 GeV Higgs in the NMSSM in light of LHC results and astrophysics constraints", *Phys.Rev.* **D86** (2012) 035023, arXiv:1203.3446.

[18] C. Beskidt, W. de Boer, and D. Kazakov, "A comparison of the Higgs sectors of the CMSSM and NMSSM for a 126 GeV Higgs boson", arXiv:1308.1333.

[19] M. Badziak, M. Olechowski, and S. Pokorski, "New Regions in the NMSSM with a 125 GeV Higgs", *JHEP* **1306** (2013) 043, arXiv:1304.5437.

[20] J. R. Ellis, G. Ridolfi, and F. Zwirner, "Radiative corrections to the masses of supersymmetric Higgs bosons", *Phys.Lett.* **B257** (1991) 83–91.

[21] M. S. Carena and H. E. Haber, "Higgs boson theory and phenomenology", *Prog.Part.Nucl.Phys.* **50** (2003) 63–152, arXiv:hep-ph/0208209.

[22] A. Djouadi, L. Maiani, G. Moreau et al., "The post-Higgs MSSM scenario: Habemus MSSM?", *Eur.Phys.J.* **C73** (2013) 2650, arXiv:1307.5205.

[23] G. Yeghian, M. Jurcisin, and D. Kazakov, "Infrared quasifixed points and mass predictions in the MSSM", *Mod.Phys.Lett.* **A14** (1999) 601–619, arXiv:hep-ph/9807411.

[24] K. Griest and D. Seckel, "Three exceptions in the calculation of relic abundances", *Phys.Rev.* **D43** (1991) 3191–3203.

[25] C. Beskidt et al., "Constraints on Supersymmetry from Relic Density compared with future Higgs Searches at the LHC", *Phys. Lett.* **B695** (2011) 143–148, arXiv:1008.2150.

[26] W. de Boer, G. Burkart, R. Ehret et al., "Combined fit of low-energy constraints to minimal supersymmetry and discovery potential at LEP-2", *Z.Phys.* **C71** (1996) 415–430, arXiv:hep-ph/9603350.

[27] Miller, D.J., R. Nevzorov, and P. Zerwas, "The Higgs sector of the next-to-minimal supersymmetric standard model", *Nucl.Phys.* **B681** (2004) 3–30, arXiv:hep-ph/0304049.

[28] U. Ellwanger, C. Hugonie, and A. M. Teixeira, "The Next-to-Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model", *Phys.Rept.* **496** (2010) 1–77, arXiv:0910.1785.

[29] D. Das, U. Ellwanger, and A. M. Teixeira, "NMSDECAY: A Fortran Code for Supersymmetric Particle Decays in the Next-to-Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model", *Comput.Phys.Commun.* **183** (2012) 774–779, arXiv:1106.5633.

[30] J. Cao, Z. Heng, L. Shang et al., "Pair Production of a 125 GeV Higgs Boson in MSSM and NMSSM at the LHC", arXiv:1301.6437.

[31] D. G. Cerdeno, P. Ghosh, and C. B. Park, "Probing the two light Higgs scenario in the NMSSM with a low-mass pseudoscalar", arXiv:1301.1325.

[32] Z. Kang, J. Li, T. Li et al., "Probing the CP-even Higgs Sector via $H_3 \to H_2 H_1$ in the Natural NMSSM", arXiv:1301.0453.

[33] T. Gherghetta, B. von Harling, A. D. Medina et al., "The Scale-Invariant NMSSM and the 126 GeV Higgs Boson", *JHEP* **1302** (2013) 032, arXiv:1212.5243.

[34] K. Kowalska, S. Munir, L. Roszkowski et al., "The Constrained NMSSM with a 125 GeV Higgs boson – A global analysis", arXiv:1211.1693.

[35] K. Choi, S. H. Im, K. S. Jeong et al., "Higgs mixing and diphoton rate enhancement in NMSSM models", *JHEP* **1302** (2013) 090, arXiv:1211.0875.

[36] I. Gogoladze, B. He, and Q. Shafi, "Inverse Seesaw in NMSSM and 126 GeV Higgs Boson", *Phys.Lett.* **B718** (2013) 1008–1013, arXiv:1209.5984.

[37] K. Agashe, Y. Cui, and R. Franceschini, "Natural Islands for a 125 GeV Higgs in the scale-invariant NMSSM", *JHEP* **1302** (2013) 031, arXiv:1209.2115.

[38] K. J. Bae, K. Choi, E. J. Chun et al., "Peccei-Quinn NMSSM in the light of 125 GeV Higgs", *JHEP* **1211** (2012) 118, arXiv:1208.2555.

[39] J. F. Gunion, Y. Jiang, and S. Kraml, "Could two NMSSM Higgs bosons be present near 125 GeV?", *Phys.Rev.* **D86** (2012) 071702, arXiv:1207.1545.

[40] T. Graf, R. Grober, M. Muhlleitner et al., "Higgs Boson Masses in the Complex NMSSM at One-Loop Level", *JHEP* **1210** (2012) 122, arXiv:1206.6806.

[41] J. Rathsman and T. Rossler, "Closing the Window on Light Charged Higgs Bosons in the NMSSM", *Adv.High Energy Phys.* **2012** (2012) 853706, arXiv:1206.1470.

[42] K. S. Jeong, Y. Shoji, and M. Yamaguchi, "Singlet-Doublet Higgs Mixing and Its Implications on the Higgs mass in the PQ-NMSSM", *JHEP* **1209** (2012) 007, arXiv:1205.2486.

[43] M. M. Almarashi and S. Moretti, "Scope of Higgs production in association with a bottom quark pair in probing the Higgs sector of the NMSSM at the LHC", arXiv:1205.1683.

[44] J. F. Gunion, Y. Jiang, and S. Kraml, "The Constrained NMSSM and Higgs near 125 GeV", *Phys.Lett.* **B710** (2012) 454–459, arXiv:1201.0982.

[45] U. Ellwanger, "A Higgs boson near 125 GeV with enhanced di-photon signal in the NMSSM", *JHEP* **1203** (2012) 044, arXiv:1112.3548.

[46] K. Ender, T. Graf, M. Muhlleitner et al., "Analysis of the NMSSM Higgs Boson Masses at One-Loop Level", *Phys.Rev.* **D85** (2012) 075024, arXiv:1111.4952.

[47] M. M. Almarashi and S. Moretti, "LHC Signals of a Heavy CP-even Higgs Boson in the NMSSM via Decays into a Z and a Light CP-odd Higgs State", *Phys.Rev.* **D85** (2012) 017701, arXiv:1109.1735.

[48] O. Stal and G. Weiglein, "Light NMSSM Higgs bosons in SUSY cascade decays at the LHC", *JHEP* **1201** (2012) 071, arXiv:1108.0595.

[49] M. Almarashi and S. Moretti, "Reinforcing the no-lose theorem for NMSSM Higgs discovery at the LHC", *Phys.Rev.* **D84** (2011) 035009, arXiv:1106.1599.

[50] M. Almarashi and S. Moretti, "Very Light CP-odd Higgs bosons of the NMSSM at the LHC in 4b-quark final states", *Phys.Rev.* **D84** (2011) 015014, arXiv:1105.4191.

[51] J.-J. Cao, K.-i. Hikasa, W. Wang et al., "Light dark matter in NMSSM and implication on Higgs phenomenology", *Phys.Lett.* **B703** (2011) 292–297, arXiv:1104.1754.

[52] M. M. Almarashi and S. Moretti, "Muon Signals of Very Light CP-odd Higgs states of the NMSSM at the LHC", *Phys.Rev.* **D83** (2011) 035023, arXiv:1101.1137.

[53] F. Mahmoudi, J. Rathsman, O. Stal et al., "Light Higgs bosons in phenomenological NMSSM", *Eur.Phys.J.* **C71** (2011) 1608, arXiv:1012.4490.

[54] LUX Collaboration, "First results from the LUX dark matter experiment at the Sanford Underground Research Facility", arXiv:1310.8214.

[55] V. Y. Kozlov EDELWEISS Collaboration, "Recent status of the Dark Matter search with Edelweiss", arXiv:1305.2808.

[56] XENON100 Collaboration, "Dark Matter Results from 225 Live Days of XENON100 Data", *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **109** (2012) 181301, arXiv:1207.5988.

[57] CDMS-II Collaboration, "Dark Matter Search Results from the CDMS II Experiment", *Science* **327** (2010) 1619–1621, arXiv:0912.3592.

[58] G. Angloher, M. Bauer, I. Bavykina et al., "Results from 730 kg days of the CRESST-II Dark Matter Search", *Eur.Phys.J.* **C72** (2012) 1971, arXiv:1109.0702.

[59] DAMA Collaboration, LIBRA Collaboration, "New results from DAMA/LIBRA", *Eur.Phys.J.* **C67** (2010) 39–49, arXiv:1002.1028.

[60] CoGeNT Collaboration, "CoGeNT: A Search for Low-Mass Dark Matter using p-type Point Contact Germanium Detectors", *Phys.Rev.* **D88** (2013) 012002, arXiv:1208.5737.

[61] G. Belanger, F. Boudjema, A. Pukhov et al., "micrOMEGAs3.1 : a program for calculating dark matter observables", arXiv:1305.0237.